

Semantics of Programming Languages (24)

Christian Urban

<http://www4.in.tum.de/~urbanc/Teaching/semantics08.html>

Task for Today

Assuming that a and b are distinct variables, is it possible to find λ -terms M_1 to M_7 that make the following pairs α -equivalent?

- $\lambda a. \lambda b. (M_1 b)$ and $\lambda b. \lambda a. (a M_1)$
- $\lambda a. \lambda b. (M_2 b)$ and $\lambda b. \lambda a. (a M_3)$
- $\lambda a. \lambda b. (b M_4)$ and $\lambda b. \lambda a. (a M_5)$
- $\lambda a. \lambda b. (b M_6)$ and $\lambda a. \lambda a. (a M_7)$

If there is one solution for a pair, can you describe all its solutions?

Typing Rules

$$\frac{(x : \tau) \in \Gamma \text{ valid } \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2 : \tau}$$

$$\frac{x \# \Gamma \quad (x : \sigma) :: \Gamma \vdash t : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau}$$

First-Order Unification

■ Terms:

variables: X

function symbols: $F(t_1, \dots, t_n)$

■ Substitutions:

$[X_1 := t_1, \dots, X_n := t_n]$

■ Unification problems:

$\{t_1 \approx? u_1, \dots, t_n \approx? u_n\}$

■ Solutions: is a substitution σ such that

$\sigma(t_1) = \sigma(u_1) \dots \sigma(t_n) = \sigma(u_n)$

Examples

■ $F(X) \approx? F(Y)$

■ $F(X) \approx? G(Y)$

■ $F(G(X)) \approx? F(Y)$

■ $H(G(X), X) \approx? H(Y, t)$

■ $X \approx? F(X)$

Unification Algorithm

■ $f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \approx? f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \cup P$
 $\implies t_1 \approx? s_1 \cup \dots \cup t_n \approx? s_n \cup P$

■ $X \approx? t \cup P$

$\xrightarrow{[X:=t]} P[X := t]$

$[X := t]$ part
of the answer

■ ...

■ if σ is an answer for a problem P , then σ is the most general solution

MGU

- σ is a **most general unifier** for a problem P if, for every other solution σ' for P , there exists a substitution δ such that

$$\delta \circ \sigma = \sigma'$$

Recap α -Equivalence

$$\frac{}{a \approx a} \approx\text{-atm}$$

$$\frac{t_1 \approx s_1 \quad t_2 \approx s_2}{t_1 t_2 \approx s_1 s_2} \approx\text{-app}$$

$$\frac{t \approx s}{\lambda a.t \approx \lambda a.s} \approx\text{-lam}_1$$

$$\frac{t \approx (a b) \cdot s \quad a \# s}{\lambda a.t \approx \lambda b.s} \approx\text{-lam}_2$$

$$\frac{}{a \# b} \#\text{-atm}$$

$$\frac{a \# t_1 \quad a \# t_2}{a \# t_1 t_2} \#\text{-app}$$

$$\frac{}{a \# \lambda a.t} \#\text{-lam}_1$$

$$\frac{a \# t}{a \# \lambda b.t} \#\text{-lam}_2$$

assuming $a \neq b$

Terms

■ $\langle \rangle$ Units

■ $\langle t, t' \rangle$ Pairs

■ $F t$ Funct.

Terms

■ $\langle \rangle$ Units

■ $\langle t, t' \rangle$ Pairs

■ $F t$ Funct.

■ a Atoms

■ $a.t$ Abstractions

bindable names
(of object-level
variables etc.)

generic binder:

$\lceil \lambda a.a \rceil \mapsto \text{fn } a.a$

constructions like
 $\text{fn } X.X$ are not
allowed

Terms

■ $\langle \rangle$ Units

■ a Atoms

■ $\langle t, t' \rangle$ Pairs

■ $a.t$ Abstractions

■ $F t$ Funct.

■ $\pi \cdot X$ Suspensions

Terms

■ $\langle \rangle$ Units

■ a Atoms

■ $\langle t, t' \rangle$ Pairs

■ $a.t$ Abstractions

■ $F t$ Funct.

■ $\pi \cdot X$ Suspensions

π is an explicit permutation, which is a list of swappings $(a_1 b_1) \dots (a_n b_n)$, waiting to be applied to the term that is substituted for X

X is a variable standing for an unknown term

Permutations

a permutation applied to a term:

$$\begin{aligned} \blacksquare \quad [] \cdot a &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a \\ \blacksquare \quad (b \ c) :: \pi \cdot a &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} c & \text{if } \pi \cdot a = b \\ b & \text{if } \pi \cdot a = c \\ \pi \cdot a & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Permutations

a permutation applied to a term:

$$\begin{aligned} \blacksquare \quad [] \cdot a &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a \\ \blacksquare \quad (b \ c) :: \pi \cdot a &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} c & \text{if } \pi \cdot a = b \\ b & \text{if } \pi \cdot a = c \\ \pi \cdot a & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \blacksquare \quad \pi \cdot a.t &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi \cdot a.\pi \cdot t \end{aligned}$$

Permutations

a permutation applied to a term:

- $[\] \cdot a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a$
- $(b\ c) :: \pi \cdot a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} c & \text{if } \pi \cdot a = b \\ b & \text{if } \pi \cdot a = c \\ \pi \cdot a & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- $\pi \cdot a.t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi \cdot a.\pi \cdot t$
- $\pi \cdot \pi' \cdot X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\pi @ \pi') \cdot X$

Permutations

a permutation applied to a term:

- $[] \cdot a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a$
- $(b\ c) :: \pi \cdot a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} c & \text{if } \pi \cdot a = b \\ b & \text{if } \pi \cdot a = c \\ \pi \cdot a & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- $\pi \cdot a.t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi \cdot a.\pi \cdot t$
- $\pi \cdot \pi' \cdot X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\pi @ \pi') \cdot X$

inverse permutation given by reversing the list of swappings

Permutations on atoms are bijections!

$$\pi \cdot a = b \quad \text{iff} \quad a = (\pi^{-1}) \cdot b$$

Freshness Relation

We will identify

$$\text{fn } a.X \approx \text{fn } b.(a b).X$$

provided that ' b is fresh for X — ($b \# X$)',
i.e., does not occur freely in any ground term
that might be substituted for X .

Freshness Relation

We will identify

$$\text{fn } a.X \approx \text{fn } b.(a\ b).X$$

provided that ' b is fresh for X — ($b \# X$)'
i.e., does not occur
that might be substituted

explicit permutation —
waits to be applied to the
term that is substituted
for X

Freshness Relation

We will identify

$$\text{fn } a.X \approx \text{fn } b.(a b).X$$

provided that ' b is fresh for X — ($b \# X$)',
i.e., does not occur freely in any ground term
that might be substituted for X .

Freshness Relation

We will identify

$$\text{fn } a.X \approx \text{fn } b.(a b).X$$

provided that ' b is fresh for X — ($b \# X$)', i.e., does not occur freely in any ground term that might be substituted for X .

If we know more about X , e.g., if we knew that $a \# X$ and $b \# X$, then we can replace $(a b).X$ by X .

Freshness Assumptions

Our equality is not just

$$t \approx t'$$

α -equivalence

Freshness Assumptions

but judgements

$$\nabla \vdash t \approx t' \quad \alpha\text{-equivalence}$$

where

$$\nabla = \{a_1 \# X_1, \dots, a_n \# X_n\}$$

is a finite set of **freshness assumptions**.

Freshness Assumptions

but judgements

$$\nabla \vdash t \approx t' \quad \alpha\text{-equivalence}$$

where

$$\nabla = \{a_1 \# X_1, \dots, a_n \# X_n\}$$

is a finite set of **freshness assumptions**.

$$\{a \# X, b \# X\} \vdash \text{fn } a.X \approx \text{fn } b.X$$

Freshness Assumptions

but judgements

$\nabla \vdash t \approx t'$ α -equivalence

$\nabla \vdash a \# t$ freshness

where

$$\nabla = \{a_1 \# X_1, \dots, a_n \# X_n\}$$

is a finite set of **freshness assumptions**.

$$\begin{array}{l} \{b \# X\} \vdash b \# a.X \\ \{\} \vdash a \# a.X \end{array}$$

Rules for Equivalence

Excerpt
(i.e. only the interesting rules)

Rules for Equivalence

$$\frac{\nabla \vdash t \approx t'}{\nabla \vdash a.t \approx a.t'}$$

$$\frac{a \neq b \quad \nabla \vdash t \approx (a b) \cdot t' \quad \nabla \vdash a \# t'}{\nabla \vdash a.t \approx b.t'}$$

Rules for Equivalence

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} (a \# X) \in \nabla \\ \text{for all } a \text{ with } \pi \cdot a \neq \pi' \cdot a \end{array}}{\nabla \vdash \pi \cdot X \approx \pi' \cdot X}$$

Rules for Equivalence

$$\frac{(a \# X) \in \nabla \text{ for all } a \text{ with } \pi \cdot a \neq \pi' \cdot a}{\nabla \vdash \pi \cdot X \approx \pi' \cdot X}$$

for example

$$\{a \# X, b \# X\} \vdash X \approx (a b) \cdot X$$

Rules for Freshness

Excerpt
(again only the interesting rules)

Rules for Freshness

$$\frac{a \neq b}{\nabla \vdash a \# b}$$

$$\frac{}{\nabla \vdash a \# a.t}$$

$$\frac{a \neq b \quad \nabla \vdash a \# t}{\nabla \vdash a \# b.t}$$

$$\frac{(\pi^{-1} \cdot a \# X) \in \nabla}{\nabla \vdash a \# \pi \cdot X}$$

\approx is an Equivalence

Theorem: \approx is an equivalence relation.

(Reflexivity) $\nabla \vdash t \approx t$

(Symmetry) if $\nabla \vdash t_1 \approx t_2$ then $\nabla \vdash t_2 \approx t_1$

(Transitivity) if $\nabla \vdash t_1 \approx t_2$ and $\nabla \vdash t_2 \approx t_3$
then $\nabla \vdash t_1 \approx t_3$

\approx is an Equivalence

Theorem: \approx is an equivalence relation.

because \approx has very good properties:

- $\nabla \vdash t \approx t'$ then $\nabla \vdash \pi \cdot t \approx \pi \cdot t'$
- $\nabla \vdash a \# t$ then $\nabla \vdash \pi \cdot a \# \pi \cdot t$

\approx is an Equivalence

Theorem: \approx is an equivalence relation.

because \approx has very good properties:

- $\nabla \vdash t \approx t'$ then $\nabla \vdash \pi \cdot t \approx \pi \cdot t'$
- $\nabla \vdash a \# t$ then $\nabla \vdash \pi \cdot a \# \pi \cdot t$
- $\nabla \vdash t \approx \pi \cdot t'$ then $\nabla \vdash (\pi^{-1}) \cdot t \approx t'$
- $\nabla \vdash a \# \pi \cdot t$ then $\nabla \vdash (\pi^{-1}) \cdot a \# t$

\approx is an Equivalence

Theorem: \approx is an equivalence relation.

because \approx has very good properties:

- $\nabla \vdash t \approx t'$ then $\nabla \vdash \pi \cdot t \approx \pi \cdot t'$
- $\nabla \vdash a \# t$ then $\nabla \vdash \pi \cdot a \# \pi \cdot t$
- $\nabla \vdash t \approx \pi \cdot t'$ then $\nabla \vdash (\pi^{-1}) \cdot t \approx t'$
- $\nabla \vdash a \# \pi \cdot t$ then $\nabla \vdash (\pi^{-1}) \cdot a \# t$
- $\nabla \vdash a \# t$ and $\nabla \vdash t \approx t'$ then
 $\nabla \vdash a \# t'$

Substitutions

■ $\sigma(a.t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a.\sigma(t)$

■ $\sigma(\pi.X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \pi \bullet \sigma(X) & \text{if } \sigma(X) \neq X \\ \pi.X & \text{o'wise do nothing} \end{cases}$

Substitutions

$$\blacksquare \sigma(a.t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a.\sigma(t)$$

$$\blacksquare \sigma(\pi.X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \pi \bullet \sigma(X) & \text{if } \sigma(X) \neq X \\ \pi.X & \text{o'wise do nothing} \end{cases}$$

for example

$$a.(a b).X \ [X := \langle b, Y \rangle]$$

Substitutions

$$\blacksquare \sigma(a.t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a.\sigma(t)$$

$$\blacksquare \sigma(\pi.X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \pi \bullet \sigma(X) & \text{if } \sigma(X) \neq X \\ \pi.X & \text{o'wise do nothing} \end{cases}$$

for example

$$\frac{a.(a b).X [X := \langle b, Y \rangle]}{\Rightarrow}$$

$$\frac{a.(a b).X [X := \langle b, Y \rangle]}{\Rightarrow}$$

Substitutions

$$\blacksquare \sigma(a.t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a.\sigma(t)$$

$$\blacksquare \sigma(\pi.X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \pi \bullet \sigma(X) & \text{if } \sigma(X) \neq X \\ \pi.X & \text{o'wise do nothing} \end{cases}$$

for example

$$a.(a b).X [X := \langle b, Y \rangle]$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{a.(a b).X [X := \langle b, Y \rangle]}$$

$$\Rightarrow a.(a b).\underline{\langle b, Y \rangle}$$

Substitutions

$$\blacksquare \sigma(a.t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a.\sigma(t)$$

$$\blacksquare \sigma(\pi.X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \pi \bullet \sigma(X) & \text{if } \sigma(X) \neq X \\ \pi.X & \text{o'wise do nothing} \end{cases}$$

for example

$$a.(a\ b).X \ [X := \langle b, Y \rangle]$$

$$\Rightarrow a.(a\ b).X \ [X := \langle b, Y \rangle]$$

$$\Rightarrow a.\underline{(a\ b)} \bullet \langle b, Y \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow a.\langle a, (a\ b).Y \rangle$$

Substitutions

- $\sigma(a.t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a.\sigma(t)$
- $\sigma(\pi.X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \pi \cdot \sigma(X) & \text{if } \sigma(X) \neq X \\ \pi \cdot X & \text{o'wise do nothing} \end{cases}$
- if $\nabla \vdash t \approx t'$ and $\nabla' \vdash \sigma(\nabla)$
then $\nabla' \vdash \sigma(t) \approx \sigma(t')$

Substitutions

- $\sigma(a.t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a.\sigma(t)$
- $\sigma(\pi.X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \pi \cdot \sigma(X) & \text{if } \sigma(X) \neq X \\ \pi \cdot X & \text{o'wise do nothing} \end{cases}$
- if $\nabla \vdash t \approx t'$ and $\nabla' \vdash \sigma(\nabla)$
then $\nabla' \vdash \sigma(t) \approx \sigma(t')$

this means

$\nabla' \vdash a \neq \sigma(X)$

holds for all

$(a \neq X) \in \nabla$

Substitutions

- $\sigma(a.t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a.\sigma(t)$
- $\sigma(\pi.X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \pi \cdot \sigma(X) & \text{if } \sigma(X) \neq X \\ \pi \cdot X & \text{o'wise do nothing} \end{cases}$
- if $\nabla \vdash t \approx t'$ and $\nabla' \vdash \sigma(\nabla)$
then $\nabla' \vdash \sigma(t) \approx \sigma(t')$

Substitutions

- $\sigma(a.t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a.\sigma(t)$
- $\sigma(\pi.X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \pi \bullet \sigma(X) & \text{if } \sigma(X) \neq X \\ \pi.X & \text{o'wise do nothing} \end{cases}$
- if $\nabla \vdash t \approx t'$ and $\nabla' \vdash \sigma(\nabla)$
then $\nabla' \vdash \sigma(t) \approx \sigma(t')$
- $\sigma(\pi.t) = \pi \bullet \sigma(t)$

Equational Problems

An equational problem

$$t \approx? t'$$

is **solved** by

- a substitution σ (terms for variables)
- and a set of freshness assumptions ∇

so that $\nabla \vdash \sigma(t) \approx \sigma(t')$.

Unifying equations may entail solving **freshness problems**.

E.g. assuming that $a \neq a'$, then

$$a.t \approx? a'.t'$$

can only be solved if

$$t \approx? (a \ a') \bullet t' \quad \text{and} \quad a \#? t'$$

can be solved.

Freshness Problems

A freshness problem

$$a \#? t$$

is **solved** by

- a substitution σ
- and a set of freshness assumptions ∇

so that $\nabla \vdash a \# \sigma(t)$.

Most General Unifiers

Definition: for a unification problem P , a solution (σ_1, ∇_1) is **more general** than another solution (σ_2, ∇_2) , iff there exists a substitution δ with

- $\nabla_2 \vdash \delta(\nabla_1)$

- $\nabla_2 \vdash \sigma_2 \approx \delta \circ \sigma_1$

Most General Unifiers

Definition: for a unification problem P , a solution (σ_1, ∇_1) is **more general** than another solution (σ_2, ∇_2) , iff there exists a substitution δ with



$$\nabla_2 \vdash \delta(\nabla_1)$$

$\nabla_2 \vdash a \neq \delta(X)$
holds for all
 $(a \neq X) \in \nabla_1$



$$\nabla_2 \vdash \sigma_2 \approx \delta \circ \sigma_1$$

Most General Unifiers

Definition: for a unification problem P , a solution (σ_1, ∇_1) is **more general** than another solution (σ_2, ∇_2) , iff there exists a substitution δ with

$$\nabla_2 \vdash \sigma_2(X) \approx \delta(\sigma_1(X))$$

holds for all

■ $\nabla_2 \vdash \delta(\nabla_1)$ $X \in \text{dom}(\sigma_2) \cup \text{dom}(\delta \circ \sigma_1)$

■ $\nabla_2 \vdash \sigma_2 \approx \delta \circ \sigma_1$

Existence of MGUs

Theorem: there is an algorithm which, given a nominal unification problem P , decides whether or not it has a solution (σ, ∇) , and returns a most general one if it does.

Existence of MGUs

Theorem: there is an algorithm which, given a nominal unification problem P , decides whether or not it has a solution (σ, ∇) , and returns a **most general** one if it does.



straightforward definition:
"iff there exists a δ such that ..."

Existence of MGUs

Theorem: there is an algorithm which, given a nominal unification problem P , decides whether or not it has a solution (σ, ∇) , and returns a most general one if it does.

Proof: one can reduce all the equations to 'solved form' first (creating a substitution), and then solve the freshness problems (easy).

Reductions

A set of $(t \approx? t')$ and $(a \#? t)$ problems can be reduced by

$$\xRightarrow{\sigma} \quad \text{or} \quad \xRightarrow{\nabla}$$

Reductions

$$\begin{array}{l} \blacksquare \{a.t \approx? b.t'\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \quad \text{if } a \neq b \\ \{t \approx? (a b) \bullet t', a \#? t'\} \cup P \end{array}$$

Reductions

$$\blacksquare \{a.t \approx? b.t'\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \begin{array}{l} \text{if } a \neq b \\ \{t \approx? (a b) \bullet t', a \#? t'\} \cup P \end{array}$$

$$\blacksquare \{a.t \approx? a.t'\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \{t \approx? t'\} \cup P$$

Reductions

- $\{a.t \approx? b.t'\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \quad \text{if } a \neq b$
 $\{t \approx? (a b) \bullet t', a \#? t'\} \cup P$
- $\{a.t \approx? a.t'\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \{t \approx? t'\} \cup P$
- $\{\pi \bullet X \approx? \pi' \bullet X\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\varepsilon}$
 $\{a \#? X \mid a \in ds(\pi, \pi')\} \cup P$

Reductions

$$\blacksquare \{a.t \approx? b.t'\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \begin{array}{l} \text{if } a \neq b \\ \{t \approx? (a b) \bullet t', a \#? t'\} \cup P \end{array}$$

$$\blacksquare \{a.t \approx? a.t'\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \{t \approx? t'\} \cup P$$

$$\blacksquare \{\pi \bullet X \approx? \pi' \bullet X\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \{a \#? X \mid a \in ds(\pi, \pi')\} \cup P$$

$$\blacksquare \{\pi \bullet X \approx? t\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\sigma} \sigma P$$

if X does not occur in t

Reductions

$$\blacksquare \{a.t \approx? b.t'\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \begin{array}{l} \text{if } a \neq b \\ \{t \approx? (a b) \cdot t', a \#? t'\} \cup P \end{array}$$

$$\blacksquare \{a.t \approx? a.t'\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \{t \approx? t'\} \cup P$$

$$\blacksquare \{\pi \cdot X \approx? \pi' \cdot X \mid \sigma = [X := \pi^{-1} \cdot t]\} \cup P$$

$\{a \#? X \mid a \in ds(\pi, \pi')\} \cup P$

$$\blacksquare \{\pi \cdot X \approx? t\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\sigma} \sigma P$$

if X does not occur in t

Reductions

$$\blacksquare \{a.t \approx? b.t'\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \begin{array}{l} \text{if } a \neq b \\ \{t \approx? (a b) \bullet t', a \#? t'\} \cup P \end{array}$$

$$\blacksquare \{a.t \approx? a.t'\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \{t \approx? t'\} \cup P$$

$$\blacksquare \{\pi \bullet X \approx? \pi' \bullet X\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \{a \#? X \mid a \in ds(\pi, \pi')\} \cup P$$

$$\blacksquare \{\pi \bullet X \approx? t\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\sigma} \sigma P$$

if X does not occur in t

$$\blacksquare \{a \#? b.t\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\emptyset} \{a \#? t\} \cup P \quad \text{if } a \neq b$$

Reductions

- $\{a.t \approx? b.t'\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \quad \text{if } a \neq b$
 $\{t \approx? (a b) \bullet t', a \#? t'\} \cup P$
- $\{a.t \approx? a.t'\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \{t \approx? t'\} \cup P$
- $\{\pi \bullet X \approx? \pi' \bullet X\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\varepsilon}$
 $\{a \#? X \mid a \in ds(\pi, \pi')\} \cup P$
- $\{\pi \bullet X \approx? t\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\sigma} \sigma P$
if X does not occur in t
- $\{a \#? b.t\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\emptyset} \{a \#? t\} \cup P \quad \text{if } a \neq b$
- $\{a \#? \pi \bullet X\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\nabla} P$

Reductions

$$\blacksquare \{a.t \approx? b.t'\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \begin{array}{l} \text{if } a \neq b \\ \{t \approx? (ab) \cdot t', a \#? t'\} \cup P \end{array}$$

$$\blacksquare \{a.t \approx? a.t'\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \{t \approx? t'\} \cup P$$

$$\blacksquare \{\pi \cdot X \approx? \pi' \cdot X\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \{a \#? X \mid a \in ds(\pi, \pi')\} \cup P$$

$$\blacksquare \{\pi \cdot X \approx? t\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\sigma} P$$

$\nabla = \{\pi^{-1} \cdot a \# X\}$

$$\blacksquare \{a \#? b.t\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\emptyset} \{a \#? t\} \cup P \quad \text{if } a \neq b$$

$$\blacksquare \{a \#? \pi \cdot X\} \uplus P \xRightarrow{\nabla} P$$

Reductions

A set of $(t \approx? t')$ and $(a \#? t)$ problems can be reduced by

$$\xRightarrow{\sigma} \quad \text{or} \quad \xRightarrow{\nabla}$$

Reductions

A set of $(t \approx? t')$ and $(a \#? t)$ problems can be reduced by

$$\xRightarrow{\sigma} \quad \text{or} \quad \xRightarrow{\nabla}$$

If there is a reduction

$$P \xRightarrow{\sigma_1} \dots \xRightarrow{\sigma_n} P' \xRightarrow{\nabla_1} \dots \xRightarrow{\nabla_m} \emptyset$$

then

$$(\sigma_n \circ \dots \circ \sigma_1, \nabla_1 \cup \dots \cup \nabla_m)$$

is a most general unifier for P .

Remember the Task?

Assuming that a and b are distinct variables, is it possible to find λ -terms M_1 to M_7 that make the following pairs α -equivalent?

- $\lambda a.\lambda b.(M_1 b)$ and $\lambda b.\lambda a.(a M_1)$
- $\lambda a.\lambda b.(M_2 b)$ and $\lambda b.\lambda a.(a M_3)$
- $\lambda a.\lambda b.(b M_4)$ and $\lambda b.\lambda a.(a M_5)$
- $\lambda a.\lambda b.(b M_6)$ and $\lambda a.\lambda a.(a M_7)$

If there is one solution for a pair, can you describe all its solutions?

Answers

$\lambda a.\lambda b.(M_1 b)$ and $\lambda b.\lambda a.(a M_1)$

Answers

$a.b.\langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? b.a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$

Answers

$$a.b.\langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? b.a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} b.\langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? (a\ b) \bullet a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle, \quad a \#? a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

Answers

$$a.b.\langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? b.a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} b.\langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? b.\langle b, (a b) \cdot M_1 \rangle, \quad a \#? a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

Answers

$$a.b.\langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? b.a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} b.\langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? b.\langle b, (a b) \cdot M_1 \rangle, a \#? a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} \langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? \langle b, (a b) \cdot M_1 \rangle, a \#? a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

Answers

$$a.b.\langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? b.a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} b.\langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? b.\langle b, (a b) \cdot M_1 \rangle, a \#? a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} \langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? \langle b, (a b) \cdot M_1 \rangle, a \#? a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} M_1 \approx? b, b \approx? (a b) \cdot M_1, a \#? a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

Answers

$$a.b.\langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? b.a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} b.\langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? b.\langle b, (a b) \cdot M_1 \rangle, a \#? a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} \langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? \langle b, (a b) \cdot M_1 \rangle, a \#? a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} M_1 \approx? b, b \approx? (a b) \cdot M_1, a \#? a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{[M_1 := b]} b \approx? (a b) \bullet b, a \#? a.\langle a, b \rangle$$

Answers

$$a.b.\langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? b.a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} b.\langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? b.\langle b, (a b) \cdot M_1 \rangle, a \#? a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} \langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? \langle b, (a b) \cdot M_1 \rangle, a \#? a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} M_1 \approx? b, b \approx? (a b) \cdot M_1, a \#? a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{[M_1 := b]} b \approx? a, a \#? a.\langle a, b \rangle$$

Answers

$$a.b.\langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? b.a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} b.\langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? b.\langle b, (a b) \cdot M_1 \rangle, a \#? a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} \langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? \langle b, (a b) \cdot M_1 \rangle, a \#? a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} M_1 \approx? b, b \approx? (a b) \cdot M_1, a \#? a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{[M_1 := b]} b \approx? a, a \#? a.\langle a, b \rangle$$

\Rightarrow *FAIL*

Answers

$$a.b.\langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? b.a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} b.\langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? b.\langle b, (a b) \cdot M_1 \rangle, a \#? a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} \langle M_1, b \rangle \approx? \langle b, (a b) \cdot M_1 \rangle, a \#? a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} M_1 \approx? b, b \approx? (a b) \cdot M_1, a \#? a.\langle a, M_1 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{[M_1 := b]} b \approx? a, a \#? a.\langle a, b \rangle$$

\Rightarrow *FAIL*

$\lambda a.\lambda b.(M_1 b) =_{\alpha} \lambda b.\lambda a.(a M_1)$ has no solution

Answers

$\lambda a.\lambda b.(b M_6)$ and $\lambda a.\lambda a.(a M_7)$

Answers

$a.b.\langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? a.a.\langle a, M_7 \rangle$

Answers

$a.b.\langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? a.a.\langle a, M_7 \rangle$

$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} b.\langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? a.\langle a, M_7 \rangle$

Answers

$$a.b.\langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? a.a.\langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} b.\langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? a.\langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} \langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? \langle b, (b a) \cdot M_7 \rangle, \quad b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

Answers

$$a.b.\langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? a.a.\langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} b.\langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? a.\langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} \langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? \langle b, (b a) \cdot M_7 \rangle, b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} b \approx? b, M_6 \approx? (b a) \cdot M_7, b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

Answers

$$a.b.\langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? a.a.\langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{e} b.\langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? a.\langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{e} \langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? \langle b, (b a) \cdot M_7 \rangle, b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{e} b \approx? b, M_6 \approx? (b a) \cdot M_7, b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{e} M_6 \approx? (b a) \cdot M_7, b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

Answers

$$a.b.\langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? a.a.\langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} b.\langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? a.\langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} \langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? \langle b, (b a) \cdot M_7 \rangle, b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} b \approx? b, M_6 \approx? (b a) \cdot M_7, b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\epsilon} M_6 \approx? (b a) \cdot M_7, b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{[M_6 := (b a) \cdot M_7]} b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

Answers

$$a.b.\langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? a.a.\langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\varepsilon} b.\langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? a.\langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? \langle b, (b a) \cdot M_7 \rangle, b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\varepsilon} b \approx? b, M_6 \approx? (b a) \cdot M_7, b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\varepsilon} M_6 \approx? (b a) \cdot M_7, b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{[M_6 := (b a) \cdot M_7]} b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\emptyset} b \#? a, b \#? M_7$$

Answers

$$a.b.\langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? a.a.\langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\varepsilon} b.\langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? a.\langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? \langle b, (b a) \cdot M_7 \rangle, b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\varepsilon} b \approx? b, M_6 \approx? (b a) \cdot M_7, b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\varepsilon} M_6 \approx? (b a) \cdot M_7, b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{[M_6 := (b a) \cdot M_7]} b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\emptyset} b \#? a, b \#? M_7$$

$$\xRightarrow{\emptyset} b \#? M_7$$

Answers

$$a.b.\langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? a.a.\langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\varepsilon} b.\langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? a.\langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? \langle b, (b a) \cdot M_7 \rangle, b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\varepsilon} b \approx? b, M_6 \approx? (b a) \cdot M_7, b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\varepsilon} M_6 \approx? (b a) \cdot M_7, b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{[M_6 := (b a) \cdot M_7]} b \#? \langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\emptyset} b \#? a, b \#? M_7$$

$$\xRightarrow{\emptyset} b \#? M_7$$

$$\xRightarrow{\{b \# M_7\}} \emptyset$$

Answers

$$a.b.\langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? a.a.\langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\varepsilon} b.\langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? a.\langle a, M_7 \rangle$$

$$\xRightarrow{\varepsilon} \langle b, M_6 \rangle \approx? \lambda a.\lambda b.(b M_6) =_{\alpha} \lambda a.\lambda a.(a M_7)$$

$$\xRightarrow{\varepsilon} b \approx? b, \Lambda$$

$$\xRightarrow{\varepsilon} M_6 \approx? (b$$

$$\xRightarrow{[M_6 := (b a) \cdot M_7]} b \#$$

$$\xRightarrow{\emptyset} b \#? a, b \#? M_7$$

$$\xRightarrow{\emptyset} b \#? M_7$$

$$\xRightarrow{\{b \# M_7\}} \emptyset$$

$$\lambda a.\lambda b.(b M_6) =_{\alpha} \lambda a.\lambda a.(a M_7)$$

we can take M_7 to be any λ -term that does not contain free occurrences of b , so long as we take M_6 to be the result of swapping all occurrences of b and a throughout M_7